



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,950	09/21/2000	Yoshiyuki Sogawa	32405W041	9479

7590 08/31/2006

Smith Gambrell Russell  
1850 M Street N W  
Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

SELBY, GEVELL V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2622

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/665,950

Applicant(s)

SOGAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Gevell Selby

Art Unit

2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 32-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10, 32 and 33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 34-36 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed on 5/5/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 4-6, 8, 32, and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, in regard to claims 1-3, 7, and 10. The applicant submits the prior art does not disclose the following limitations of the claimed invention:

notifying of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range, as stated in claim 1. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Re claim 1) The Takano reference discloses computing information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range (see figure 9, step 1011) and notifying the drive circuit of this information to move the universal joint to correction the deviation of the reference pattern (see figure 9, step 1012 and column 5, lines 50-57).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita, JP 08-276787, in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254.**

In regard to claim 1, Matsushita, JP 08-276787, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus by comparing a position of a reference pattern (54a) and a position of judgment pattern (62) on a displayed photographed image by an examiner (see figure 16A and B and Para. 7 and 57) so as to judge if said shooting direction is within a finely adjustable range which can be adjusted by an image transformation (see Para. 42-48), comprising:

photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of said camera apparatus with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart, the photographing producing a photographed image (see paragraph 40);

setting a judgment pattern at a specific position on said photographed image (see paragraph 42);

displaying said photographed image with said judgment pattern on a display device (see paragraph 22 and figure 16: The display means (58) outputs all the images from processed by the processor); and

comparing a position of said reference pattern and a position of said judgment pattern on said displayed photographed image (see paragraph 42);

it is implied the examiner judges whether said judgment pattern is within a finely adjustable range (see figure 7: the examiner can judge that the shooting direction is outside the range of the judgment pattern according the shift in x and y) which is adjusted by an image transformation (The image processing means adjusts or moves the range (see Para. 47) wherein fine adjustment is made by the transformation of translating the image to the correct location (see Para. 42-47)).

The Matsushita reference does not disclose notifying of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range.

Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses a method for examining the shooting direction of a camera wherein when a deviation is detected, it is decided in the image sensor direction control unit whether the deviation quantity is correctable or not and when a correction is possible (see column 5, lines 44-50), the next step performed is computing information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range (see figure 9, step 1011) and notifying the drive circuit of this information to move the universal joint to correction the deviation of the reference pattern (see figure 9, step 1012 and column 5, lines 50-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Matsushita, JP 08-276787, in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, to notify of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range, in order to correct the camera position, so that the system will be more accurate and reliable.

In regard to claim 2, Matsushita, JP 08-276787, in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1. The Matsushita reference discloses wherein said judgment pattern having at least one judgment reference line extending in a horizontal direction and at least one judgment reference line extending in a vertical direction is set on said photographed image in said setting step (see figure 9, element 62).

In regard to claim 3, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 1. The Matsushita reference discloses wherein said photographed image is displayed on a navigation display provided in a navigation device in said displaying step (see figure 1, element 58 and paragraph 22).

In regard to claim 4, Matsushita, JP 08-276787, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus attached to a body of a vehicle is within finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation (see Para. 42-48) comprising:

obtaining a photographed image by photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of the vehicle with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart (see figures 4-6 and Para. 35-38);

determining a position of said reference pattern on said photographed image (see Para. 41); and

judging on compliance or non-compliance of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus based on a relationship between the position of said reference pattern determined and a proper range defining a finely adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation for the shooting direction of said camera apparatus (This step is inherently performed by the examiner in the Masaaki reference when he/she compares the positions of the reference pattern and the judgment pattern) wherein fine adjustment is made by the transformation of translating the image to the correct location (see Para. 42-47).

The Matsushita reference does not disclose notifying of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range.

Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses a method for examining the shooting direction of a camera wherein when a deviation is detected, it is decided in the image sensor direction control unit whether the deviation quantity is correctable or not and when a correction is possible (see column 5, lines 44-50), the next step performed is computing information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing

the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range (see figure 9, step 1011) and notifying the drive circuit of this information to move the universal joint to correction the deviation of the reference pattern (see figure 9, step 1012 and column 5, lines 50-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Matsushita, JP 08-276787, in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, to notify of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range, in order to correct the camera position, so that the system will be more accurate and reliable.

In regard to claim 7, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 1. The Matsushita reference discloses wherein said reference pattern is at least one of a crisscross pattern and a rectangular pattern. (See figure 6, element 10 and paragraph 41).

In regard to claim 8, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining shooting direction of the camera apparatus according to claim 4, comprising:

notifying of information concerning current mounting conditions of said camera apparatus when said reference pattern deviates from said proper range



(see Para. 20-22: The display means 58 displays the processing result to the examiner).

In regard to claim 32, Matsushita, JP 08-276787, discloses a test method for examining a shooting direction of a camera apparatus to judge whether or not the camera apparatus can be positioned within a finely adjustable range wherein fine adjustable range which is adjusted by an image transformation with respect to the deviation of a camera position, comprising:

- obtaining a photographed image by photographing with said camera apparatus a test chart placed at a predefined position ahead of said camera apparatus with a reference pattern drawn on the test chart (see figure 4-6);

- setting a judgment pattern at a specific position on said photographed image (see figure 9a, element 62);

- displaying said photographed image with said judgment pattern on a display device (see figures 7 and 9a and paragraph 57: the images are displayed on display device 58); and

- examining compliance or non-compliance of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus by comparing a position of said reference pattern and a position of said judgment pattern on said displayed photographed image (This step is inherently performed by the examiner in the Masaaki reference when he/she compares the positions of the reference pattern and the judgment pattern).

The Matsushita reference does not disclose notifying of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said

reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range.

Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses a method for examining the shooting direction of a camera wherein when a deviation is detected, it is decided in the image sensor direction control unit whether the deviation quantity is correctable or not and when a correction is possible (see column 5, lines 44-50), the next step performed is computing information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range (see figure 9, step 1011) and notifying the drive circuit of this information to move the universal joint to correction the deviation of the reference pattern (see figure 9, step 1012 and column 5, lines 50-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Matsushita, JP 08-276787, in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, to notify of information concerning adjustment of said camera apparatus for minimizing the amount of deviation of said reference pattern according to the amount of deviation of said reference pattern when said reference pattern deviates from said finely adjustable range, in order to correct the camera position, so that the system will be more accurate and reliable.

In regard to claim 33, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1. The Matsushita reference discloses wherein said

judgment pattern having at least one judgment reference line extending in a horizontal direction and at least one judgment reference line extending in a vertical direction is set on said photographed image in said setting step (see figure 9, element 62).

**5. Claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163.**

In regard to claim 5, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 4. The Masaaki and Takano references lack wherein said determining step includes:

evaluating a correlation of each of specific regions in said photographed image with a previously prepared specific brightness characteristics pattern; and specifying a position of one of said regions having the greatest correlation as the position of said reference pattern; wherein said brightness characteristics pattern has the same brightness characteristics as said reference pattern shown on said photographed image.

Tomitaka, US 5,355, 163, discloses a test method for a video camera system wherein said determining step includes:

evaluating a correlation of each of specific regions in said photographed image with a previously prepared specific brightness characteristics pattern (see column 2, lines 35-46); and

specifying a position of one of said regions having the greatest correlation as the position of said reference pattern; wherein said brightness characteristics pattern has the same brightness characteristics as said reference pattern shown on said photographed image (see column 2, lines 47-58).

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention, to modify Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, and further in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355,163, to have the determining step of claim 5, in order to stably and effectively pickup a quantity of features of the object in the visual field (see column 2, lines 17-19) and determine the section of the image with the highest similarity to reference (see column 2, lines 50-52) as taught by Tomitaka.

In regard to claim 6, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, and further in view of Tomitaka, US 5,355,163, as described in regard to claim 5 above, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 5, wherein said determining step includes evaluating the correlation with said brightness characteristics pattern by searching through a specific search range (see Tomitaka: figure 7, elements FMX1 to FMX3 and column 2, lines 33-35) within said photographed image (PIC), wherein a setting position of said search range is determined based on the position of said reference pattern shown on said photographed image under conditions where said camera apparatus is properly mounted (see Tomitaka: column 6, lines 35-57), and an area of said search range is set in consideration of a deviation of the shooting direction of said camera apparatus (see Tomitaka: column 3, lines 20-29).

Art Unit: 2622

6. **Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, as applied to claim 1 above, and further view of Hamaguri, US 6,462,777.**

In regard to claim 10, Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, discloses the test method for examining the shooting direction of the onboard camera apparatus according to claim 1.

The Masaaki and Takano references do not disclose a stereo camera.

Hamaguri US 6,462,777, discloses a display testing apparatus wherein said camera apparatus is a stereo camera apparatus having a pair of cameras, and said photographed image is an image photographed by one of said cameras (see column 5, lines 59-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Matsushita, JP 08-276787 in view of Takano et al., US 5,850,254, and further view of Hamaguri, US 6,462,777, to have a stereo camera apparatus as in claim 10 in order to enable calibration at a high speed using the convergence of the pair of cameras.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claim 9 is allowed.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:  
Claim 9 is allowed for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

Art Unit: 2622

9. Claims 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### *Conclusion*

10. The RCE filed on 5/5/06 was found to be improper due to the previous office action filed on 11/09/05 being a non-final rejection. The Examiner called the applicant's attorney, Glenn Perry (28,458), on 8/25/06 to inform him of this matter.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 571-272-7369. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gvs



VIVEK SRIVASTAVA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER